







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/172,553	10/14/1998	JAMES E. GREEN	2914.IUS	9441	
75	90 09/23/2002				
JOSEPH A WALKOWSKI			EXAMINER		
TRASK BRITT & ROSSA PO BOX 2550			DIAZ, J	DIAZ, JOSE R	
SALT LAKE C	ITY, UT 84110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2815		
		•	DATE MAILED: 09/23/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	(A (C (C A)		m
••• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/172,553	GREEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
TI MAN NO DATE AND	José R Díaz	2815	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ress
THE REPLY FILED 08 September 2002 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this applicated and applicated and applicated applications.	ation. A proper reply h places the applicat	/ to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content o	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amothe shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final C	on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR			
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furthe	r consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	elow);		
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or sim	nplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims	<b>;</b> .
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection</li></ol>	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would l canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	pe allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT	place the
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	use it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment( explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>31-35 and 37-45</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	ı)☐ approved or b)☐ disappr	oved by the Examin	er.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement  10. Other:		EDDIE LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT	-
		TECHNOLOGY CENT	



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The limitation "a plurality of contiguous top surfaces", as presented in the amendment, sets forth subject matter which was not considered in the finally rejected claim and hence presents new issues which require further consideration and/or search...